

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,150	01/14/2002	Armin Schoppach	(Z) 99038 P US	4347
75	590 03/31/2006		EXAMINER	
M. Robert Kestenbaum			PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L	
11011 Bermuda Albuquerque, 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ouquerque,			2872	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/21/2007	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/047,150	SCHOPPACH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joshua L. Pritchett	2872				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING DA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till (ii) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	· ·	v in the				
2a) <u></u>	· —	action is non-final.					
3)	• •						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 U.G. 213.	,			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)	· · ——						
	Claim(s) 20-27 and 36-56 is/are rejected.		•				
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r clastion requirement					
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•		•			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			18			
44)[7]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct).			
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	anniner. Note the attached Onic	e Action of format 10-102.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau		ed in this National Stage				
* :	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ved.				
	Dee the attached detailed Office dollor for a list	or the coranica depice necretor.		**			
		•					
•							
Attachmer		4) Interview Summar	n/(PTO-413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date				
3) 🛛 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date- <u>11/05</u> .	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
гар	er 140(3)/14(a) Date <u>1 // 00</u> .	o, <u></u> .					

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Amendment filed January 11, 2006. Claims 43-56 have

been added as requested by the applicant.

Claim Objections

Claims 38 and 39 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims

depend from claim 20 which does not provide proper support for the limitations "the mirror

mounting" or "the mirror member" as stated in claims 38 and 39 respectively. Appropriate

correction is required.

Claim 45 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim states that the

mirror member is made of quartz and that the mirror member is made of SiN and that the mirror

member. The claim also states that the compensation elements are made of titanium and that the

compensation elements are made of invar. The examiner cannot readily discern which

combination of materials the applicant is actually claiming. For the purpose of examination the

claim will be examined as if the mirror member is SiN and the compensation elements are

titanium. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2872

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20, 21, 23, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dilworth (US 4,116,537).

Regarding claim 20, Dilworth discloses a first optical element (150), the first optical element having a focal point (col. 2 lines 65-68); a further optical element (110); compensating elements (116,117,129,130); the first optical element is connected to the further optical element by means of a mounting (155,157) and compensating elements (Fig. 2); the first optical element and the further optical element defining an axial direction (Fig. 2); the compensating elements being arranged in a region of the first optical element providing thermal conductivity from the first optical element to the compensating elements so that the compensating elements undergo approximately a same temperature change as the first optical element, and the compensating elements having a length in the axial direction and being made from a material so as to displace the further optical element from the first optical element in a same amount as a displacement of the focal point occurs because of heating of the first optical element (col. 2 line 62 – col. 3 line 26; 116,117,129 and 130 correspond to 16,17,29 and 30 respectively).

Regarding claim 21, Dilworth discloses at least one of the first and further optical elements comprises a lens (col. 2 lines 13-14).

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding claim 23, Dilworth discloses the compensating elements have a thermal expansion coefficient deviating from that of the mounting (col. 3 lines 15-26).

Regarding claims 38 and 39, Dilworth discloses the compensating elements are connected on one side with the mounting and on the other side with the mirror mounting (Fig. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22, 36 and 37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Dilworth (US 4,116,537) in view of Fruit ("A New Concept in Telescope Design SiC as the Only

Material for Mirrors and Structure").

Dilworth teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to the claimed materials. Fruit teaches a material for mounting with a density of at least 2.5 x 10³ kg/m³ (Fig. 1). Fruit teaches the use of C/C SiC (page 2 section 3). Fruit teaches the telescope used in orbit (page 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Dilworth invention include the materials of Fruit for the purpose of allowing the

Art Unit: 2872

telescope to withstand the forces exerted on the structure during a launch into orbit and still remain functional.

Claims 24, 25, 27 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dilworth (US 4,116,537) in view of Jutte (US 4,098,476).

Regarding claims 24 and 41, Dilworth teaches a first optical element (150), the first optical element having a focal point (col. 2 lines 65-68); a further optical element (110); compensating elements (116,117,129,130); the first optical element is connected to the further optical element by means of a mounting (155,157) and compensating elements (Fig. 2); the first optical element and the further optical element defining an axial direction (Fig. 2); the compensating elements being arranged in a region of the first optical element providing thermal conductivity from the first optical element to the compensating elements so that the compensating elements undergo approximately a same temperature change as the first optical element, and the compensating elements having a length in the axial direction and being made from a material so as to displace the further optical element from the first optical element in a same amount as a displacement of the focal point occurs because of heating of the first optical element (col. 2 line 62 - col. 3 line 26; 116,117,129 and 130 correspond to 16,17,29 and 30 respectively). Dilworth lacks reference to the mirror carrier comprising quartz and the compensating elements being titanium. It is extremely well known in the art to use quartz to carry a mirror surface. Official Notice is taken. Jutte teaches the compensation elements for a telescope being titanium (col. 1 lines 62-68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the compensating elements of Dilworth be

Art Unit: 2872

titanium as taught by Jutte and a quartz mirror carrier as is known in the art for the purpose of producing a telescope that can withstand a high magnitude of stress.

Regarding claim 25, Dilworth teaches at least one of the first and further optical elements comprises a lens (col. 2 lines 13-14).

Regarding claim 27, Dilworth teaches the compensating elements have a thermal expansion coefficient deviating from that of the mounting (col. 3 lines 15-26).

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dilworth (US 4,116,537) in view of Jutte (US 4,098,476) as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of Fruit ("A New Concept in Telescope Design SiC as the Only Material for Mirrors and Structure").

Dilworth in combination with Jutte teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to the claimed materials. Fruit teaches a material for mounting with a density of at least 2.5 x 10^3 kg/m³ (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Dilworth invention include the materials of Fruit for the purpose of allowing the telescope to withstand the forces exerted on the structure during a launch into orbit and still remain functional.

Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dilworth (US 4,116,537) in view of Neil (US 5,579,333).

Dilworth teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to a mirror carrier made of SiN. Neil teaches the mirror carrier made of SiN (abstract). It would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2872

of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Dilworth invention include a mirror carrier made of SiN as taught by Neil for the purpose of efficiently reflecting incident light rays.

Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dilworth (US 4,116,537) in view of Jutte (US 4,098,476) as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of Neil (US 5,579,333).

Dilworth teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to the claimed materials.

Neil teaches the mirror carrier made of SiN (abstract). Jutte teaches the compensation elements for a telescope being titanium (col. 1 lines 62-68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the compensating elements of Dilworth be titanium as taught by Jutte for the purpose of producing a telescope that can withstand a high magnitude of stress. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Dilworth invention include a mirror carrier made of SiN as taught by Neil for the purpose of efficiently reflecting incident light rays.

Claims 43, 44, 46-53 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dilworth (US 4,116,537) in view of Harnisch ("Ultra lightweight C/SiC Mirror and Structures").

Regarding claims 43, 48 and 55, Dilworth teaches a first optical element (150), the first optical element having a focal point (col. 2 lines 65-68); a further optical element (110); compensating elements (116,117,129,130); the first optical element is connected to the further

Art Unit: 2872

optical element by means of a mounting (155,157) and compensating elements (Fig. 2); the first optical element and the further optical element defining an axial direction (Fig. 2); the compensating elements being arranged in a region of the first optical element providing thermal conductivity from the first optical element to the compensating elements so that the compensating elements undergo approximately a same temperature change as the first optical element, and the compensating elements having a length in the axial direction and being made from a material so as to displace the further optical element from the first optical element in a same amount as a displacement of the focal point occurs because of heating of the first optical element (col. 2 line 62 - col. 3 line 26; 116,117,129 and 130 correspond to 16,17,29 and 30 respectively). Dilworth lacks reference to the density of the material. Harnisch teaches the density of the material being at most 2.5 x 10³ kg/m³ (page 4 col. 1). Harnisch teaches C/C SiC with a density being 2.23 x 10³ kg/m³ (page 4 col. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the density of the compensating elements as taught by Harnisch for the purpose of having the telescope be lightweight and therefore more portable.

Regarding claim 44, Dilworth teaches at least one of the optical elements being a mirror (col. 2 lines 13-14).

Regarding claim 46, Dilworth teaches at least one of the optical elements comprises a lens (col. 2 lines 13-14).

Regarding claim 47, Dilworth teaches the optical system comprises a telescope with a primary mirror and a secondary mirror (Fig. 2; col. 2 lines 13-14).

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding claim 49, Dilworth teaches the compensation elements are arranged in a region of one of the optical elements coaxial with the optical axis (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 50, Dilworth teaches the compensation elements are coaxial with the primary mirror (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 51, Dilworth teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to a telescope tube and the size. It is extremely well known in the art to provide a tube to enclose a telescope to prevent stray light from distorting the image obtained. Official Notice is taken. It is also well with in the ability of one of ordinary skill in the art to have the size of the Dilworth telescope be at least three feet. Changes in size have been held to be within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the telescope of Dilworth include a tube for the purpose of blocking stray light that could distort the image obtained by the telescope.

Regarding claim 52, Dilworth teaches the compensation elements support a mirror carrier carrying a mirror surface of the primary mirror (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 53, Dilworth teaches the compensation elements have a thermal expansion coefficient deviating from that of the mounting (col. 3 lines 15-26).

Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dilworth (US 4,116,537) in view of Jutte (US 4,098,476) and Neil (US 5,579,333).

Dilworth teaches a mirror (150) comprising a mirror member carrying surface (Fig. 2), which mirror member is further connected to a further optical element (110) by means of a mounting and compensating elements (116,117,129,130; Fig. 2). Dilworth lacks reference to the

Application/Control Number: 10/047,150 Page 10

Art Unit: 2872

claimed materials. Neil teaches the mirror carrier made of SiN (abstract). Jutte teaches the compensation elements for a telescope being titanium (col. 1 lines 62-68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the compensating elements of Dilworth be titanium as taught by Jutte for the purpose of producing a telescope that can withstand a high magnitude of stress. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Dilworth invention include a mirror carrier made of SiN as taught by Neil for the purpose of efficiently reflecting incident light rays.

Claims 54 and 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dilworth (US 4,116,537) in view of Harnisch ("Ultra lightweight C/SiC Mirror and Structures") as applied to claim 44 above further in view of Neil (US 5,579,333).

Regarding claim 54, Dilworth teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to a mirror carrier made of SiN. Neil teaches the mirror carrier made of SiN (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Dilworth invention include a mirror carrier made of SiN as taught by Neil for the purpose of efficiently reflecting incident light rays.

Regarding claim 56, Dilworth teaches the mirror members connected directly to a mounting element for isostatic mounting and the mounting is mounted to the mirror member (Fig. 2).

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2872

Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed January 11, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 20 under Hull have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Dilworth. Applicant submitted foreign priority papers to perfect the foreign priority date to overcome the Hull reference. Dilworth is now used to reject the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua L. Pritchett whose telephone number is 571-272-2318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2872

JLP 🌈

DREW A. DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER